Page **1** of **2**

ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Mrs. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member(J) The Hon'ble Mr. P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

Case No - OA-298 of 2018.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Nemai Roy. <u>Vs</u> The State of West Bengal & Others. Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
1 01 08/08/2018	For the Applicant : Mr. Subit Kumar Mondal, Advocate. For the State Respondents: Mr. Soumendra Narayan Ray Advocate. Affidavit of service filed be kept on record. The instant application has been filed for challenging the impugned order dated 08.12.2015 by which his candidature was rejected that the deceased employee was not appointed on regular basis against regular vacancy. Therefore, there is no scope of compassionate appointment. The	
	counsel for the respondent has raised the preliminary objection on the point of limitation. As the impugned order was passed on 08.12.15 and the instant application has been filed on 03.05.2018 i.e. after a gap of almost three years. The, counsel for the applicant has submitted that he made a demand justice through his Advocate on 07.02.18 and therefore, he has filed the instant application. Heard the parties and perused the records. It is observed that the instant application has been filed after a long gap of time without any application for	

ORDER SHEET

Nemai Roy.

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Others.

Case No. OA-298	8 of 2018	
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	condonation of delay. Therefore, mere making	
	representation after three years would not	
	automatically condone the delay, neither the cause	
	of action would continue. Further, the	
	compassionate appointment is not a matter of right	
	and subject to the fulfilment of the condition	
	stipulated in the scheme for compassionate	
	appointment. In the instant case, the compassionate	
	appointment prayer was rejected on the ground of	
	not being regular employee as per different orders of	
	the Labour Department.	
	Accordingly, we do not find any reason to	
	entertain the matter. The OA is dismissed with	
	above observation.	
GM	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER(A) MEMBER(J)	